



UNITED STATES PATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE
UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.USPTO.GOV

SEP - 6 2002

Mailed:

THM
Paper Number 8

In re application of: Mans et al.
Serial Number: 09/554,733
Filed: May 19, 2000
For: SPONGE CLOTH BASED ON CELLULOSE AND
PRODUCTION THEREOF

**DECISION
ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the PETITION TO RESTART PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION, filed July 2, 2002. The petition requests that the period for reply to the Office action dated March 12, 2002 be restarted.

DECISION

The instant PETITION is accepted as a petition, under 37 CFR 1.181(no fee), and is considered pursuant to MPEP 710.06 which relates to resetting the period for response due to late receipt of a PTO action. The requirements for such petitions are as follows:


- A. the instant petition is filed within two weeks of the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address
- B. a substantial portion of the set reply period had elapsed on the date of receipt (e.g., at least 1 month of a 2- or 3-month reply period had elapsed); and
- C. the petition includes (1) evidence showing the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address and (2) a statement setting forth the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address and explaining how the evidence being presented establishes the date of receipt of the Office action at the correspondence address.

A review of the application record and the petitioner's evidence indicates that the Office mailed the action to the address of record. It is noted that the address had not been changed in this application

prior to the filing of the petition. Applicant's evidence for change of address reveals that the address associated with the customer number 26633 has been changed. The instant application was not associated with that customer number until August of 2002.

Accordingly, applicant's request for restarting the period for reply to the Office action is denied, and the time for response continues to run from March 12, 2002, the date of mailing of the Office Action.

The Petition is **DENIED**.



Richard V. Fisher, Director
Technology Center 1700
Chemical and Materials Engineering

Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe LLP
1666 K Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006